arXiv:1701.06169v1 [math.FA] 22 Jan 2017

RELATIVELY WEAKLY OPEN CONVEX COMBINATIONS OF SLICES

TROND A. ABRAHAMSEN AND VEGARD LIMA

Abstract. We show that c_0, and in fact $C(K)$ for any scattered compact Hausdorff space K, have the property that finite convex combinations of slices of the unit ball are relatively weakly open.

1. Introduction

Let X be a (real or complex) Banach space with unit ball B_X, unit sphere S_X, and dual X^*. Given $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we define a slice of B_X by

$$S(x^*, \varepsilon) := \{x \in B_X : \operatorname{Re} x^*(x) > 1 - \varepsilon\},$$

where $\operatorname{Re} x^*(x)$ denotes the real part of $x^*(x)$.

Recall the following successively stronger "big-slice concepts", defined in [3]:

Definition 1.1. A Banach space X has the

(i) *local diameter 2 property* if every slice of B_X has diameter 2.
(ii) *diameter 2 property* if every non-empty relatively weakly open subset of B_X has diameter 2.
(iii) *strong diameter 2 property* if every finite convex combination of slices of B_X has diameter 2.

Since a slice is relatively weakly open, the diameter 2 property implies the local diameter 2 property. For some spaces X the converse is also true. For example, it is known that if every $x \in S_X$ is an extreme point of $B_{X^{**}}$, then every non-empty relatively weakly open subset of B_X contains a slice by Choquet's lemma (cf. e.g. Proposition 1.3 in [1]).

By Bourgain's lemma [5, Lemma II.1] every non-empty relatively weakly open subset of B_X contains a finite convex combination of slices hence the strong diameter 2 property implies the diameter 2 property. On a particularly sunny day at a conference at the University of Warwick in 2015, Olav Nygaard asked if the converse is ever true. The aim of this short note is to answer this question affirmatively by showing that both c_0, and in fact $C(K)$ for any scattered compact Hausdorff space K, have the much stronger property that finite convex combinations of slices of the unit ball are relatively weakly open. See Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 below.

Let us note that in general it is not true that finite convex combinations of slices of the unit ball are relatively weakly open. The Banach space ℓ_2

Date: January 24, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B04, 46B20.
Key words and phrases. convex combinations of slices, relatively weakly open set, scattered compact, diameter two property.

is one example [5, Remark IV.5]. In their proof that the strong diameter 2 property is stronger than the diameter 2 property Haller, Langemets and Põldvere [6] show that if Z is an ℓ_p-sum of two Banach spaces, $Z = X \oplus_p Y$ with $1 < p < \infty$, then for every $\lambda \in (0,1)$ there exists two slices S_1 and S_2, and a $\beta > 0$ such that $\lambda S_1 + (1-\lambda)S_2 \subset (1-\beta)B_Z$.

We should also remark that the positive part of the unit sphere of $L_1[0,1]$, $F = \{f \in L_1[0,1] : f \geq 0, \|f\| = 1\}$ is another example of a closed convex bounded subset of a Banach space that satisfies a converse to Bourgain's lemma in that finite convex combinations of slices of F are relatively weakly open [5, Remark IV.5].

The notation and conventions we use are standard and follow e.g. [4].

2. Main result

We start by recalling the following definition (see e.g. [4, Definition 14.19]).

Definition 2.1. A compact space K is said to be *scattered compact* if every closed subset $L \subset K$ has an isolated point in L.

Let K be scattered compact and consider the Banach space $C(K)$ of all continuous functions on K with sup-norm. Rudin [9] showed that $C(K)^* = \ell_1(K)$ in this case. Pełczyński and Semadeni [8] showed that for a compact Hausdorff space K we have $C(K)^* = \ell_1(K)$ if and only if K is scattered (= dispersed).

To prove the main result, we will need the following geometric lemma for the unit circle in the complex plane.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $e^{i\alpha}$ and $e^{i\beta}$ be distinct points on the unit circle with distance $d = |e^{i\alpha} - e^{i\beta}|$. If $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{2}$, then the point $c = \mu e^{i\alpha} + (1-\mu)e^{i\beta}$ on the line segment between $e^{i\alpha}$ and $e^{i\beta}$ satisfies*

$$|c| \leq 1 - \frac{d^2\mu}{4}.$$

Proof. A straightforward calculation shows that $d^2 = 2 - 2\cos(\alpha - \beta)$ and that $|c|^2 = \mu^2 + (1-\mu)^2 + \mu(1-\mu)2\cos(\alpha-\beta)$. Hence $|c|^2 = 1 - d^2\mu(1-\mu)$. Since $\sqrt{1+x} \leq 1 + \frac{x}{2}$ for $x \geq -1$ and $\mu(1-\mu) \geq \frac{\mu}{2}$ for $\mu \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ we get

$$|c| = \sqrt{1 - d^2\mu(1-\mu)} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2}d^2\mu(1-\mu) \leq 1 - \frac{d^2\mu}{4}$$

as desired. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let K be scattered compact. Then every finite convex combination of slices of the unit ball of $C(K)$ is relatively weakly open.*

Proof. Let $\{S(f_i, \varepsilon_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ be slices of $B_{C(K)}$ and let $\lambda_i > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$, and consider the convex combination of these slices

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i S(f_i, \varepsilon_i).$$

Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i z_i \in C$ with $z_i \in S(f_i, \varepsilon_i)$. Our goal is to find a non-empty relatively weakly open neighborhood of x that is contained in C.

Let $d = \min\{\operatorname{Re} f_i(z_i) - (1-\varepsilon_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ and let $\eta > 0$ be such that $\eta < d/3$. Let $E \subset K$ be a finite set such that $\sum_{t \notin E} |f_i(t)| < \eta$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Define

$$\mathcal{U} = \Big\{ y \in B_{C(K)} : |y(t) - x(t)| < \delta, t \in E \Big\}$$

where $\delta > 0$. Next we specify how δ is chosen.

Let $L = \max\{\frac{1}{\lambda_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Let

$$E_I = \{t \in E : \text{there exists } 1 \leq i_0 \leq k \text{ such that } |z_{i_0}(t)| < 1\}.$$

Define $\delta_I = (1+3L)^{-1} \min_{t \in E_I}(1 - |z_{i_0}(t)|)$. Let

$$E_{III} = \{t \in E \setminus E_I : \text{there exists } i \neq j \text{ such that } z_i(t) \neq z_j(t)\}$$

and define

$$D = \min_{t \in E_I} \min_{z_i(t) \neq z_j(t)} \{|z_i(t) - z_j(t)|^2\}.$$

Choose $0 < \rho < \min\{D/8, \eta/4L\}$. Define $\delta_{III} = D\rho(4(1+3L))^{-1}$. Finally we choose $\delta < \min\{\eta/6L, \delta_I, \delta_{III}\}$.

Let $y \in \mathcal{U}$. Define $w(t) = y(t) - x(t)$ for all $t \in K$. We will define $\bar{z}_i \in S(f_i, \varepsilon_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and show that y can be written $y = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \bar{z}_i \in C$.

If $t \in E$ is an isolated point let $\mathcal{V}_t = \{t\}$. While for a non-isolated point $t \in E$ we let $\mathcal{V}_t$ be a neighborhood of t chosen so that $\mathcal{V}_t \cap \mathcal{V}_{t'} = \emptyset$ for all $t' \neq t$, $t' \in E$, and $|z_i(t) - z_i(s)| < \delta$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $|x(t) - x(s)| < \delta$ and $|y(t) - y(s)| < \delta$ for all $s \in \mathcal{V}_t$. In particular, we get $|x(s) - y(s)| < 3\delta$ for all $s \in V_t$.

Definition of $\bar{z}_i$ outside $\bigcup_{t \in E} \mathcal{V}_t$.

For $s \in K \setminus \cup_{t \in E} \mathcal{V}_t$ we define $\bar{z}_i(s) = y(s)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Definition of $\bar{z}_i$ on $\bigcup_{t \in E} \mathcal{V}_t$.

Let $t \in E$.

Case I: If there exists i_0 with $|z_{i_0}(t)| < 1$, then we choose by Urysohn's lemma a real-valued non-negative continuous function $n_t \in S_{C(K)}$ with $n_t(t) = 1$ such that $n_t(s) = 0$ off $\mathcal{V}_t$. Now, for $s \in \mathcal{V}_t$ let

$$\bar{z}_{i_0}(s) = n_t(s)[z_{i_0}(s) + \lambda_{i_0}^{-1} w(s)] + [1 - n_t(s)]y(s)$$

and for $i \neq i_0$ we let

$$\bar{z}_i(s) = n_t(s) z_i(s) + [1 - n_t(s)]y(s).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \bar{z}_i(s) &= n_t(s)w(s) + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \Big[n_t(s) z_i(s) + (1 - n_t(s))y(s) \Big] \\ &= n_t(s)(y(s) - x(s)) + n_t(s)x(s) + (1 - n_t(s))y(s) = y(s). \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of δ

$$\begin{aligned} |z_{i_0}(s) + \lambda_{i_0}^{-1} w(s)| &\leq |z_{i_0}(t)| + |z_{i_0}(s) - z_{i_0}(t)| + L|y(s) - x(s)| \\ &\leq |z_{i_0}(t)| + \delta + 3L\delta < 1, \end{aligned}$$

thus we have $|\bar{z}_i(s)| \leq 1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k$. Moreover, for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ the function $\bar{z}_i$ is continuous on K since z_i, y, x and n_t all are and since n_t is zero off $\mathcal{V}_t$ so $\bar{z}_i = y$ there.

We will need that $|\bar{z}_{i_0}(t) - z_{i_0}(t)| \leq \lambda_{i_0}^{-1} |y(t) - x(t)| < L\delta < \eta$ and $|\bar{z}_i(t) - z_i(t)| = 0$ for $i \neq i_0$.

Case II: If for all $1 \leq i, l \leq k$ we have $z_i(t) = z_l(t)$ with $|z_i(t)| = 1$, then $x(t) = z_i(t)$ and we can just let $\bar{z}_i(s) = y(s)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $s \in \mathcal{V}_t$. Continuity of $\bar{z}_i$ on K in this case is trivial.

We will need that $|\bar{z}_i(t) - z_i(t)| = |y(t) - x(t)| < \delta < \eta$.

Case III: If $|z_i(t)| = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, but not all $z_i(t)$ are equal. Order the set $\{\arg z_i(t) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ as an increasing sequence $\{\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \cdots < \theta_q\}$ and define $\theta_0 = \theta_q$. We put $A_p = \{i : \arg z_i(t) = \theta_p\}$ and $\Lambda_p = \sum_{i \in A_p} \lambda_i$.

With ρ as above we define for $1 \leq p \leq q$

$$c_p = \rho(e^{i\theta_{p-1}} - e^{i\theta_p}).$$

Let $s \in \mathcal{V}_t$ and define (for $i \in A_p$)

$$\bar{z}_i(s) = n_t(s)\Big[z_i(s) + \frac{c_p}{\Lambda_p} + \frac{w(s)}{q\Lambda_p}\Big] + (1 - n_t(s))y(s).$$

We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \bar{z}_i(s) = \sum_{p=1}^{q} \sum_{i \in A_p} \lambda_i \bar{z}_i(s)$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{q} n_t(s) \sum_{i \in A_p} \lambda_i z_i(s) + \sum_{p=1}^{q} n_t(s) c_p + \sum_{p=1}^{q} n_t(s) \frac{w(s)}{q} + (1 - n_t(s))y(s)$$

$$= n_t(s) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i z_i(s) + n_t(s)0 + n_t(s)w(s) + (1 - n_t(s))y(s)$$

$$= n_t(s)x(s) + n_t(s)(y(s) - x(s)) + y(s) - n_t(s)y(s) = y(s).$$

With $\mu = \rho/\Lambda_p$

$$z_i(t) + \frac{c_p}{\Lambda_p} = e^{i\theta_p} + \mu(e^{i\theta_{p-1}} - e^{i\theta_p}) = \mu e^{i\theta_{p-1}} + (1 - \mu)e^{i\theta_p}.$$

so by Lemma 2.2

$$|z_i(t) + \frac{c_p}{\Lambda_p}| \leq 1 - \frac{|e^{i\theta_{p-1}} - e^{i\theta_p}|^2 \rho}{4\Lambda_p} \leq 1 - \frac{D\rho}{4\Lambda_p} < 1 - \frac{D\rho}{4} < 1 - (1 + 3L)\delta$$

hence

$$\Big|z_i(s) + \frac{c_p}{\Lambda_p} + \frac{w(s)}{q\Lambda_p}\Big| \leq |z_i(t) + \frac{c_p}{\Lambda_p}| + |z_i(s) - z_i(t)| + \Big|\frac{w(s)}{q\Lambda_p}\Big|$$
$$< 1 - (1 + 3L)\delta + \delta + 3L\delta = 1.$$

Thus we have $|\bar{z}_i(s)| \leq 1$. We will also need that

$$|\bar{z}_i(t) - z_i(t)| = \Big|\frac{c_p}{\Lambda_p} + \frac{w(t)}{q\Lambda_p}\Big| \leq \rho|e^{i\theta_{p-1}} - e^{i\theta_p}|L + 3\delta L \leq 2L\rho + 3L\delta \leq \eta.$$

Also note that for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ the function $\bar{z}_i$ is continuous on K since z_i, y, x and n_t all are and since n_t is zero off $\mathcal{V}_t$ so $\bar{z}_i = y$ there.

Conclusion.

So far we have defined $\bar{z}_i \in B_{C(K)}$ and shown that $y = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \bar{z}_i$. It only remains to show that $\bar{z}_i \in S(f_i, \varepsilon_i)$. We have

$$\sum_{t \notin E} |f_i(t)(\bar{z}_i(t) - z_i(t))| < \eta \|\bar{z}_i - z_i\| \leq 2\eta,$$

and

$$\sum_{t\in E} |f_i(t)(\bar{z}_i(t) - z_i(t))| < \|f_i\|\eta < \eta.$$

Hence $|f_i(\bar{z}_i - z_i)| < 3\eta$ so that

$$\operatorname{Re} f_i(\bar{z}_i) \geq \operatorname{Re} f_i(z_i) - 3\eta > \operatorname{Re} f_i(z_i) - d > 1 - \varepsilon_i,$$

and we are done. □

The above theorem applies to $C[0,\alpha]$ for any infinite ordinal α, and in particular to $c = C[0,\omega]$. It should be clear that the proof also works for real scalars and that it proves the following result.

Theorem 2.4. *Every finite convex combination of slices of the unit ball of c_0 is relatively weakly open.*

3. Questions and remarks

We will end with some questions and remarks.

(i) Which Banach spaces satisfy that finite convex combinations of slices of the unit ball are relatively weakly open? Does this hold for spaces with the strong diameter 2 property?
(ii) Which Banach spaces satisfy that finite convex combinations of slices of the unit ball contain a non-empty relatively weakly open neighborhood of some point in the combination?
(iii) Which Banach spaces satisfy that finite convex combinations of slices of the unit ball always have non-empty intersection with the sphere?
(iv) If finite convex combinations of slices of both B_X and B_Y are relatively weakly open, is the same true for the unit ball of $X \oplus_\infty Y$ and/or $X \oplus_1 Y$?

It is not clear that there is a connection between having relatively weakly open convex combinations of slices and the diameter two properties. But we have the following observation.

Remark 3.1. Let X be a Banach space such that there exists a slice $S_1 = S(x^*, \varepsilon)$ of B_X with $\operatorname{diam} S_1 < 1$, then there is a convex combination of slices of B_X that is not relatively weakly open.

Define $S_2 = S(-x^*, \varepsilon)$. Since $x \in S_1$ if and only if $-x \in S_2$ we have that S_2 satisfies $\operatorname{diam} S_2 = \operatorname{diam} S_1 < 1$.

Let $C = \frac{1}{2}S_1 + \frac{1}{2}S_2$. Fix $x \in S_1$. We have $\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}(-x) = 0 \in C$. If $\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 \in C$, then

$$\|\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x_1 - x\| + \frac{1}{2}\|x_2 - (-x)\| < 1$$

hence $C \cap S_X = \emptyset$ and C is not relatively weakly open.

Regarding Question (iii) we have the following examples of spaces where finite convex combinations of slices intersect the sphere.

Example 3.2. Finite convex combinations of slices of the unit ball of $L_1[0,1]$ always intersect the sphere. Here slices are given by functions $g_i \in B_{L_\infty[0,1]}$. We may assume that the g_i's are simple functions and find sets $B_i \subset [0,1]$ with $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ and $\|\chi_{B_i} g_i\|_\infty$ almost one. The functions $f_i = m(B_i)^{-1}\chi_{B_i}$ does the job (m is Lebesgue measure).

Example 3.3. Let X be a Banach space such that whenever $S_i = S(x_i^*, \varepsilon_i)$ with $x_i^* \in S_X$ and $\varepsilon_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, are n slices of B_X, then there exists $x_i \in S_i \cap S_X$ and $y \in S_X$ such that $\|x_i \pm y\| = 1$ and $x_i + y \in S_i$.

Spaces that satisfy this condition include $\ell_\infty^c(\Gamma)$ for Γ uncountable since this space is almost square with $\varepsilon = 0$ [2, Remark 2.11]. It also includes ℓ_∞ and $C[0,1]$ since the slices there are defined by measures of bounded variation.

If X is a space with this property, then finite convex combinations of slices of B_X always intersect the sphere.

Indeed, let $\lambda_i > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ and let $S_i = S(x_i^*, \varepsilon_i)$ be slices of B_X with $x_i^* \in S_{X^*}$ and $\varepsilon_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

By assumption, there exists $x_i \in S_i \cap S_X$ and $y \in S_X$ such that $\|x_i \pm y\| = 1$ and $x_i + y \in S_i$.

Choose $y^* \in S_{X^*}$ such that $y^*(y) = 1$. Then

$$1 = \|x_i \pm y\| \geq y^*(y) \pm y^*(x_i) = 1 \pm y^*(x_i)$$

hence $y^*(x_i) = 0$. Now $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x_i + y) \in \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S_i$ and

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x_i + y)\| \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y^*(y) = 1.$$

Example 3.4. If X has the Daugavet property, then finite convex combinations of weak*-slices of B_{X^*} intersect the sphere S_{X^*}. To see this let $1 \leq i \leq n$, $x_i \in S_X$, $\varepsilon_i > 0$, and let $S(x_i, \varepsilon_i)$ be slices of B_{X^*}.

Let $x_1^* \in S(x_1, \varepsilon_1) \cap S_{X^*}$ and $V_1 = \operatorname{span}(x_1^*)$. By [7, Lemma 2.12] there exists $x_2^* \in S(x_2, \varepsilon_2) \cap S_{X^*}$ such that $\|x_2^* + v^*\| = 1 + \|v^*\|$ for all $v^* \in V_1$.

Let $V_k = \operatorname{span}(x_1^*, x_2^*, \ldots, x_k^*)$. Again by [7, Lemma 2.12] there exists $x_{k+1}^* \in S(x_{k+1}, \varepsilon_{k+1}) \cap S_{X^*}$ such that $\|x_{k+1}^* + v^*\| = 1 + \|v^*\|$ for all $v^* \in V_k$.

If $\lambda_i > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$, then it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i^* \in \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S(x_i, \varepsilon_i)$ and

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i^*\| = \lambda_n + \|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i^*\| = \cdots = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1.$$

Acknowledgments. We thank Stanimir Troyanski and Olav Nygaard for fruitful conversations.

References

[1] T. A. Abrahamsen, P. Hájek, O. Nygaard, J. Talponen, and S. Troyanski, *Diameter 2 properties and convexity*, Studia Math. **232** (2016), no. 3, 227–242.

[2] T. A. Abrahamsen, J. Langemets, and V. Lima, *Almost square banach spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **434** (2016), no. 2, 1549 – 1565.

[3] T. A. Abrahamsen, V. Lima, and O. Nygaard, *Remarks on diameter 2 properties*, J. Convex Anal. **20** (2013), no. 1, 439–452.

[4] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, and V. Zizler, *Banach space theory*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, Springer, New York, 2011, The basis for linear and nonlinear analysis. MR 2766381 (2012h:46001)

[5] N. Ghoussoub, G. Godefroy, B. Maurey, and W. Schachermayer, *Some topological and geometrical structures in Banach spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1987), no. 378, iv+116. MR 912637

[6] R. Haller and J. Langemets, *Two remarks on diameter 2 properties*, Proc. Est. Acad. Sci. **63** (2014), no. 1, 2–7.

[7] V. M. Kadets, R. V. Shvidkoy, G. G. Sirotkin, and D. Werner, *Banach spaces with the Daugavet property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 2, 855–873. MR 1621757 (2000c:46023)
[8] A. Pełczyński and Z. Semadeni, *Spaces of continuous functions. III. Spaces $C(\Omega)$ for Ω without perfect subsets*, Studia Math. **18** (1959), 211–222. MR 0107806
[9] W. Rudin, *Continuous functions on compact spaces without perfect subsets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 39–42. MR 0085475

(T. A. Abrahamsen) Department of Mathematics, University of Agder, Postboks 422, 4604 Kristiansand, Norway.
E-mail address: trond.a.abrahamsen@uia.no
URL: http://home.uia.no/trondaa/index.php3

(V. Lima) NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Aalesund, Postboks 1517, N-6025 Ålesund Norway.
E-mail address: Vegard.Lima@ntnu.no